



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/633,787	08/04/2003	David S. Benco	LUTZ 2 00231	6929
7590 11/07/2005			EXAMINER	
Richard J. Minnich			LE, DANH C	
Fay, Sharpe, Fagan, Minnich & McKee, LLP			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Seventh Floor 1100 Superior Avenue			2683	TALER NOMBER
Cleveland, OH 44114			DATE MAILED: 11/07/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/633,787	BENCO ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	DANH C. LE	2683					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence ac	idress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DOWN THE MAILING DOWN THE MAILING DOWN THE MAILING DOWN THE METERS	ATE OF THIS COMMUN 36(a). In no event, however, may a vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MO , cause the application to become	IICATION. a reply be timely filed DNTHS from the mailing date of this of ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	·				
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 Section</u>	entember 2005						
	action is non-final.						
	_						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	•	· •					
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) <u>1-3,5-10 and 12-20</u> is/are pending in	the application.	•					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3 and 5-7,12,13,17,18</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) <u>8-10,14-16,19 and 20</u> is/are objected	<u></u>						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		b by the Examiner					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•	•					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct			FR 1 121(d)				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	•	• • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	,				
 Certified copies of the priority documents 	s have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	rity,documents have bee	n received in this National	Stage				
application from the International Bureau	ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies no	t received.					
		:					
Attachment(s)							
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Tinterview	Summary (PTO-413)					
2) D Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No	o(s)/Mail Date					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5)	Informal Patent Application (PT	O-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/633,787

Art Unit: 2683

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 1. <u>Claims 1-3, 5-7, 12, 13, 17-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hanson (US 2003/0083067) in view of McGregor (US 2004/0097220).</u>

As to claim 1, Hanson teaches a method for monitoring a roaming charge rate on a mobile station (figure 2), the mobile station being associated with a wireless network and a subscriber to wireless services from a wireless service provider associated with the wireless network the method including the steps:

- a) while the mobile station is located in a current geographic area, determining if the mobile station is roaming;
- b) determining if a roaming charge will be incurred by the subscriber when using the mobile station in the current geographic area; and
- c) communicating a roaming charge rate associated with the roaming charge that would be incurred to the mobile station (paragraph 0057).

Hanson fails to teach a per-minute roaming charge rate is displayed on a display associated with the mobile station while the mobile station is located in the current geographic area. McGregor teaches the roaming charge rate is displayed on a display

Application/Control Number: 10/633,787

Art Unit: 2683

associated with the mobile station while the mobile station is located in the current geographic area (paragraph 0179-0181 and abstract). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the teaching of McGregor into the system of Hanson in order to allow use conditions to be better determined.

As to claim 2, the combination of Hanson and McGregor teaches the method as set forth in claim 1, between steps a) and b), the method further including:

retrieving at least a portion of an existing service plan between the subscriber and the wireless service provider from a subscriber database (figure 2, 122).

As to claim 3, the combination of Hanson and McGregor teaches method as set forth in claim 2 wherein the retrieved portion includes a per-minute roaming charge rate associated with the current geographic area (paragraph 0048).

As to claim 5, the combination of Hanson and McGregor teaches method as set forth in claim 1 (figure 2), further including:

- d) while the mobile station is located in a different geographic area, determining the mobile station is roaming; and
- e) repeating steps b) and c) for the different geographic area while the mobile station is powered up.

As to claim 6, the combination of Hanson and McGregor teaches method as set forth in claim 5 (figure 2), between steps a) and b), the method further including:

retrieving at least a portion of an existing service plan between the subscriber and the wireless service provider from a subscriber database.

Application/Control Number: 10/633,787

Art Unit: 2683

As to claim 7, the combination of Hanson and McGregor teaches method as set forth in claim 6 wherein the retrieved portion includes a per-minute roaming charge rate associated with the current geographic area (McGregor paragraph 0179-0181).

As to claim 12, Hanson teaches the method for monitoring a per-minute roaming charge on a mobile station (figure 2), the mobile station being associated with a wireless network and a subscriber to wireless services from a wireless service provider associated with the wireless network, the method including the steps:

- a) while the mobile station is located in a current geographic area, determining the mobile station is roaming;
- b) retrieving at least a portion of an existing service plan between the subscriber and the wireless service provider from a subscriber database;
- c) determining a per-minute roaming charge will be incurred by the subscriber when using the mobile station in the current geographic area;
 - d) communicating the per-minute roaming charge to the home MSC;
- e) while the mobile station is located in a different geographic area, determining the mobile station is roaming; and
- g) repeating steps b) e) for the different geographic area while the mobile station is powered up.

Hanson fails to teach displaying the per-minute roaming charge on a display associated with the mobile station while the mobile station is located in the current geographic area. McGregor teaches displaying the per-minute roaming charge on a display associated with the mobile station while the mobile station is located in the

current geographic area (paragraph 0033). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the teaching of McGregor into the system of Hanson in order to allow use conditions to be better determined.

As to claim 13, the combination of Hanson and McGregor teaches the method as set forth in claim 12 wherein the retrieved portion includes a roaming charge rate associated with the current geographic area (McGregor paragraph 0028).

As to claim 17, the claim is a system claim of claim 12; therefore, the claim is interpreted and rejected as set forth as claim 12.

As to claim 18, the claim is a system claim of claim 13; therefore, the claim is interpreted and rejected as set forth as claim 13.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 8, 14 and 19 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

As to claims 8, 14, 19, the combination of Hanson and McGregor either alone or in combine fails to teach providing a cue to a user associated with the mobile station indicating a new roaming charge rate is displayed on the mobile station.

Allowable Subject Matter

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DANH C. LE whose telephone number is 571-272-7868. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00AM-5:00PM.

Application/Control Number: 10/633,787 Page 6

Art Unit: 2683

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, WILLIAM TROST can be reached on 571-272-7872. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

November 1, 2005

DANH CONG LE

PATENT EXAMINER